

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	B. Hawks et al.	Examiner:	Adnan M. Mirza
Serial No.:	09/579,864	Group Art Unit:	2145
Filed:	May 25, 2000	Docket No.:	STL920000034US1
TITLE:	METHOD OF, SYSTEM FOR, AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR PROVIDING A DATA STRUCTURE FOR CONFIGURING CONNECTIONS BETWEEN A LOCAL WORKSTATION FILE SYSTEM AND A REMOTE HOST FILE SYSTEM		

OK TO ENTER: /A.M./

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) EFS-Web system over the Internet to Examiner Adnan Mirza on April 17, 2006.

/David Victor/
David W. Victor

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to a final office ("Final Office Action") dated February 15, 2006 in which the Examiner rejected pending claims 1-21 as failing to comply with the enablement (35 U.S.C. §112, par. 1) and definiteness (35 U.S.C. §112, par. 2) requirements and as obvious (35 U.S.C. §103) over prior art. During the week of April 10th, the attorney for Applicants and the Examiner held a phone interview discussing the enablement and indefiniteness rejections. Applicants explain herein why the Specification provides sufficient support for the claims to enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention and why the claim language is definite. Applicants traverse the prior art rejections with respect the pending claims and submit that all pending claims 1-21 are patentable over the cited art for the reasons discussed herein.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2.